Reply to Office Action of November 17, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the

following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 6-16 are presently active. Claims 1-5 and 11 having been canceled without

prejudice by the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, and 11 were rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,291,065) in view of

Hiyoshi (U.S. Pat. No. (6,297,549). Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Arai et al in view of Hiyoshi and further in view of Tsunoda (U.S. Pat. No.

5,488,256). Claims 6-10 were indicated as being allowed.

Firstly, Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication of allowance for

Claims 6-10. In order to expedite prosecution of the present case to allowance, Claims 1-5

and 11 have been canceled.

4

Application No.: 09/895,025

Reply to Office Action of November 17, 2004

Consequently, in light of the above discussion and in view of the present amendment, the present application containing only allowed Claims 6-10 is believed to be in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Ponale a Ruel

Customer Number 22850

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Ronald A. Rudder

Registration No. 45, 618

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 GJM:RAR/clh

I:\ATTY\RAR\AMENDMENTS\210096US\AM4.DOCC